

lues of volume ratio v/v_0 im, sodium, and lead; the given in the text.

1. For equation 9, m = 5. < 0, whereas for C > 0 the en by equation 4 was used to value of K_0 " was chosen (b) provide reasonable agreement ntal compression data. The are cited in the figures. ill clearly affect the apparent ed for a good fit. For the ant to have a reliable value confidence can be placed u '. For example, a relatively ay very well account for the ce (in sign of K_0'') between sium. Similarly, taking difference in K_0' between I polycrystalline aluminum likely to affect the conclu-

le, α -quartz, and magnesing disparity between the ex-

FINDING VOLUME OF SOLIDS

, detailed at a permits the choice of C to varyaderably. In the case of aluminum oxide = +5 and C = -15 would provide a sonable fit of the single-crystal and polystal shock wave data, respectively. We have $_{-\text{cn}} C = -5(K_0'' = -2 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2/\text{dyne})$ represent an average fit, but we certainly and not attach any particular significance to , choice of C in view of the available data, thich seem to indicate that the single-crystal - polverystal behave somewhat differently. in this case the calculated curves are based on X' determined from the ultrasonic data for dverystalline material. For α -quartz C = 10 $K'' = 27 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2/\text{dyne}$ provides a reamable fit of the shock wave data, whereas the gh-pressure isothermal data suggest a smaller

value of C for a fit. For magnesium, Figure 4, $C = \pm 2$ ($K_0'' = \pm 5.8 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2/\text{dyne}$) accommodates the scatter between the high pressure isothermal compression data.

The calculated curve for potassium (Figure 5) shows good agreement with experimental data by picking C = -0.6 ($K_0'' = -17.8 \times 10^{-12}$ cm²/dyne). Strangely, a positive value of C was required to fit the experimental data of the other alkali metal, sodium. In Figure 6 the calculated curve for sodium with C = 1.5 ($K_0'' = 24.3 \times 10^{-12}$ cm²/dyne) provides a very good fit of the experimental data to high pressures.

In Figure 7 the agreement between calculated and experimental data for lead is quite good over the entire pressure range for a value of

